

Complexification of Three Potential Model

S. Meyur¹, S. Debnath²

¹Tansuk Rai Ganapat Rai Khemka High School, 23, Rabindra Sarani, Liluah, Howrah-711204, India

²Department of Mathematics, Jadavpur University, Kolkata-700032, India

Received 9 December 2007

Abstract. The new kind of \mathcal{PT} and non \mathcal{PT} -symmetric complex potentials are constructed from a group theoretical viewpoint of $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ potential algebras. The real eigenvalues and corresponding regular eigenfunctions are also obtained. The results are compared with ones obtained before.

PACS number: 03.65.Ge; 03.65.Fd; 02.20.Sv

1 Introduction

\mathcal{PT} -symmetric quantum mechanics has generated much interest in recent years [1-10]. A few years ago, Bender and others [1-2,4,9] have looked at several complex potentials with \mathcal{PT} -symmetry and have shown that the energy eigenvalues are real when \mathcal{PT} -symmetry is unbroken, whereas they come in complex conjugate pairs when \mathcal{PT} -symmetry is spontaneously broken. Recently Mostafazadeh [11] in his very noteworthy work has introduced the concept of pseudo-Hermiticity and he has pointed out that all the \mathcal{PT} -symmetric Hamiltonians regarded so far are actually \mathcal{P} -pseudo Hermitian, namely $\mathcal{P}H\mathcal{P}^{-1} = H^\dagger$. Again, it is claimed that generally, it is the η -pseudo Hermiticity, *i.e.* $\eta H \eta^{-1} = H^\dagger$ [10] and not the \mathcal{PT} -symmetry, of a Hamiltonian which is the necessary condition for its real spectrum.

Bagchi and Quesne [11,12] have discussed the Lie algebra for hyperbolic potential. In this paper we have illustrated the Lie algebra for deformed type hyperbolic Scarf-II, Pöschl-Teller potential and Morse potential. We have shown that results are consistent with [12].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present a brief discussion of $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ potential algebra and its realization. In Section 3, we obtain general results for complex potential associated with $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ potential algebra. In Sections 4, 5, 6 we discuss respectively the solutions of Scarf-II, Pöschl-Teller and Morse potential. Section 7 is kept for conclusions and discussions.

2 $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ Potential Algebras

The most general differential realization of the $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ algebra is [12]

$$J_0 = -i \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}, \quad J_{\pm} = e^{\pm i \phi} \left[\pm \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \left(i \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \mp \frac{1}{2} \right) f(x) + g(x) \right], \quad (1)$$

where $0 \leq \phi < 2\pi$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and the two functions $f(x), g(x) \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfy

$$\frac{df}{dx} = 1 - f^2, \quad \frac{dg}{dx} = -fg \quad (2)$$

and the generators are connected by

$$[J_0, J_{\pm}] = \pm J_{\pm}, \quad [J_+, J_-] = -2J_0. \quad (3)$$

The Casimir operator corresponding to the above generators is

$$J^2 = -J_{\pm} J_{\mp} + J_0^2 \mp J_0. \quad (4)$$

Using (1) and (4) one can obtain

$$J^2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2} + \frac{1}{4} \right) \frac{df}{dx} + 2i \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \frac{dg}{dx} - g^2 - \frac{1}{4}. \quad (5)$$

For bound states, the unitary irreducible representations of the type D_j^+ , spanned by states $|jm\rangle$, $j > 0$ and $m = j + n$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ such that

$$J_0 |jm\rangle = m |jn\rangle, \quad m = j, j + 1, \dots \quad (6)$$

$$J^2 |jm\rangle = j(j - 1) |jn\rangle, \quad m = j, j + 1, \dots \quad (7)$$

In the realization (1), the states are given by

$$|jm\rangle = \psi_{jm}(x, \phi) = \psi_{jm} \frac{e^{im\phi}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}, \quad (8)$$

where $\psi_{jm}(x) = \psi_n^{(m)}(x)$, satisfying the Schrödinger equation

$$-\psi_n^{(m)''} + V_m \psi_n^{(m)} = -E_n^{(m)} \psi_n^{(m)}. \quad (9)$$

The potential $V_m(x)$ is represented by

$$V_m(x) = \left(\frac{1}{4} - m^2 \right) \frac{df}{dx} + 2m \frac{dg}{dx} + g^2 \quad (10)$$

and the energy eigenvalues are given by

$$E_n^{(m)} = - \left(m - n - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2. \quad (11)$$

The potential $V_m(x)$, $m = j, j + 1, j + 2, \dots$ supporting the same eigenvalues $-(m - n - \frac{1}{2})^2$ produce a potential algebra. Solving the differential equation $J_- \psi_0^{(m)}(x) = 0$, the eigenfunctions $\psi_0^{(m)}(x)$ are easily obtained. The remaining eigenfunctions are obtained by successive application of J_+ on $\psi_0^{(m)}(x)$. For bound states ($\psi_n^{(m)}(\pm\infty) \rightarrow 0$), n is restricted to the range $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n_{\max} < m - \frac{1}{2}$.

3 General Results

The most general solutions of the equation (2) are

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \tanh_q(x - c - i\sigma), \\ g(x) &= (d_1 + id_2) \operatorname{sech}_q(x - c - i\sigma) \end{aligned} \quad \text{when } f^2 < 1 \quad (12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \coth_q(x - c - i\sigma), \\ g(x) &= (d_1 + id_2) \operatorname{cosech}_q(x - c - i\sigma) \end{aligned} \quad \text{when } f^2 > 1 \quad (13)$$

$$f(x) = \lambda, \quad g(x) = (d_1 + id_2)e^{-\lambda(x-c-i\sigma)} \quad \text{when } f^2 = 1, \quad (14)$$

where

$$q(> 0), \quad c, d_1, d_2 (\neq 0) \in R, \quad \lambda = \pm 1, \quad -\frac{\pi}{4} \leq \sigma \leq \frac{\pi}{4}.$$

From (10) and (12) we have the nonsingular Scarf-II[SF] potential [13], given by

$$\begin{aligned} V_m^{SF}(x) &= \left[(d_1 + id_2)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{4} - m^2 \right) q \right] \operatorname{sech}_q^2(x - c - i\sigma) \\ &\quad - 2m(d_1 + id_2) \operatorname{sech}_q(x - c - i\sigma) \tanh_q(x - c - i\sigma) \\ &= \frac{2}{(\cosh_{q^2}(2x - 2c) + q \cos 2\sigma)^2} \left\{ \left[d_1^2 - d_2^2 + \left(\frac{1}{4} - m^2 \right) q \right] \right. \\ &\quad \times (\cosh_{q^2}(2x - 2c) \cos 2\sigma + q) - 2d_1 d_2 \sinh_{q^2}(2x - 2c) \sin 2\sigma \\ &\quad - 2m \left[d_1 \sinh_{q^2}(x - c) \cos \sigma (\cosh_{q^2}(2x - 2c) - q \cos 2\sigma + 2q) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - d_2 \cosh_{q^2}(x - c) \sin \sigma (\cosh_{q^2}(2x - 2c) - q \cos 2\sigma - 2q) \right] \right\} \\ &\quad + \frac{2i}{(\cosh_{q^2}(2x - 2c) + q \cos 2\sigma)^2} \left\{ \left[d_1^2 - d_2^2 + \left(\frac{1}{4} - m^2 \right) q \right] \right. \\ &\quad \times \sinh_{q^2}(2x - 2c) \sin 2\sigma + 2d_1 d_2 (\cosh_{q^2}(2x - 2c) \cos 2\sigma + q) \\ &\quad - 2m \left[d_1 \cosh_{q^2}(x - c) \sin \sigma (\cosh_{q^2}(2x - 2c) - q \cos 2\sigma - 2q) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + d_2 \sinh_{q^2}(x - c) \cos \sigma (\cosh_{q^2}(2x - 2c) - q \cos 2\sigma + 2q) \right] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Complexification of Three Potential Model

From (10) and (13) we have the nonsingular Pöschl-Teller[PTL] potential [13], given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 V_m^{PTL}(x) &= \left[(d_1 + id_2)^2 - \left(\frac{1}{4} - m^2 \right) q \right] \operatorname{cosech}_q^2(x - c - i\sigma) \\
 &\quad - 2m(d_1 + id_2) \operatorname{cosech}_q(x - c - i\sigma) \operatorname{coth}_q(x - c - i\sigma) \\
 &= \frac{2}{(\cosh_{q^2}(2x - 2c) - q \cos 2\sigma)^2} \left\{ \left[d_1^2 - d_2^2 - \left(\frac{1}{4} - m^2 \right) q \right] \right. \\
 &\quad \times (\cosh_{q^2}(2x - 2c) \cos 2\sigma - q) - 2d_1 d_2 \sinh_{q^2}(2x - 2c) \sin 2\sigma \\
 &\quad - 2m \left[d_1 \cosh_{q^2}(x - c) \cos \sigma (\cosh_{q^2}(2x - 2c) + q \cos 2\sigma - 2q) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - d_2 \sinh_{q^2}(x - c) \sin \sigma (\cosh_{q^2}(2x - 2c) + q \cos 2\sigma + 2q) \right] \left. \right\} \\
 &\quad + \frac{2i}{(\cosh_{q^2}(2x - 2c) - q \cos 2\sigma)^2} \left\{ \left[d_1^2 - d_2^2 - \left(\frac{1}{4} - m^2 \right) q \right] \right. \\
 &\quad \times \sinh_{q^2}(2x - 2c) \sin 2\sigma + 2d_1 d_2 (\cosh_{q^2}(2x - 2c) \cos 2\sigma - q) \\
 &\quad - 2m \left[d_1 \sinh_{q^2}(x - c) \sin \sigma (\cosh_{q^2}(2x - 2c) + q \cos 2\sigma + 2q) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + d_2 \cosh_{q^2}(x - c) \cos \sigma (\cosh_{q^2}(2x - 2c) + q \cos 2\sigma - 2q) \right] \left. \right\} \\
 &\hspace{15em} (16)
 \end{aligned}$$

and from (10) and (14) we have the nonsingular Morse potential [14], given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 V_m^{MP}(x) &= (d_1 + id_2)^2 e^{-2\lambda x} - 2m(d_1 + id_2) e^{-\lambda x} \\
 &= (d_1^2 - d_2^2) e^{-2\lambda x} - 2\lambda m d_1 e^{-\lambda x} + id_2 (2d_1 e^{-2\lambda x} \mp 2m e^{-\lambda x}), \quad (17)
 \end{aligned}$$

where (17) corresponds to $\lambda = 1$ and we use the relations

$$\cosh_q(x + i\sigma) \cosh_q(x - i\sigma) = \frac{1}{2} [\cosh_{q^2} 2x + q \cos 2\sigma], \quad (18)$$

$$\sinh_q(x + i\sigma) \sinh_q(x - i\sigma) = \frac{1}{2} [\cosh_{q^2} 2x - q \cos 2\sigma]. \quad (19)$$

For $q = 1$ the equations (15) and (16) coincide with [12]. The above potentials give a quite complete generalization of $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ algebra corresponding to the representation (1). In order to obtain the regular wave function $\psi_0^{(m)}(x)$ that solves the differential equation $J_- \psi_{mm}(x, \phi) = 0$, we have

$$\psi_0^{(m)}(x) \propto (\operatorname{sech}_q x')^{(m - \frac{1}{2})} \exp \left[\frac{(d_1 + id_2)}{\sqrt{q}} \arctan \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \sinh_q x' \right) \right] \quad (20)$$

$$\psi_0^{(m)}(x) \propto \left[\sinh_{\sqrt{q}} \left(\frac{x'}{2} \right) \right]^{(-m + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{d_1 + id_2}{\sqrt{q}})} \left[\cosh_{\sqrt{q}} \left(\frac{x'}{2} \right) \right]^{(-m + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{d_1 + id_2}{\sqrt{q}})} \quad (21)$$

$$\psi_0^{(m)}(x) \propto \exp \left[- \left(m - \frac{1}{2} \right) x' - (d_1 + id_2)e^{-x'} \right], \quad (22)$$

where $x' = x - c - i\sigma$. Equations (20) and (21) are regular when $m > \frac{1}{2}$ and (22) is regular when $m > \frac{1}{2}$ and $d_2 > 0$.

4 Complexification of Scarf-II Potential

The most general form of Scarf-II potential [13] is

$$V(x) = -V_1 \operatorname{sech}_q^2 x - iV_2 \operatorname{sech}_q x \tanh_q x, \quad V_1 > 0, \quad V_2 \neq 0. \quad (23)$$

The potential (23) is \mathcal{PT} -symmetric under $\mathcal{P} : x \rightarrow \log q - x$ $\mathcal{T} : i \rightarrow -i$ and η -pseudo Hermitian under $\eta x \eta^{-1} = x + i\pi$. Now for $c = \sigma = 0$, comparing (23) with (15) we have

$$d_1^2 - d_2^2 + \left(\frac{1}{4} - m^2 \right) q = -V_1, \quad (24)$$

$$d_1 d_2 = 0, \quad (25)$$

$$m d_1 = 0, \quad (26)$$

$$2m d_2 = V_2. \quad (27)$$

Using regularity condition $m > \frac{1}{2}$, from (26) we have $d_1 = 0$ and from (27) we have

$$m = \frac{V_2}{2d_2}. \quad (28)$$

From (24) and (28) we have

$$d_2^2 = \frac{1}{4} \left[\sqrt{V_1 + \frac{q}{4} + \sqrt{q}V_2} \pm \sqrt{V_1 + \frac{q}{4} - \sqrt{q}V_2} \right]^2 \quad (29)$$

provided

$$|V_2| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \left(V_1 + \frac{q}{4} \right).$$

However, the proper choice of the sign in the expression for d_2 is extremely important. Due to the fact that $m > \frac{1}{2}$, the sign of d_2 is the same as the sign of V_2 in the equation (28),

$$\text{when } d_2 > 0, \quad V_2 > 0, \quad d_2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[\sqrt{V_1 + \frac{q}{4} + \sqrt{q}V_2} \pm \sqrt{V_1 + \frac{q}{4} - \sqrt{q}V_2} \right]$$

$$\text{when } d_2 < 0, \quad V_2 < 0, \quad d_2 = -\frac{1}{2} \left[\sqrt{V_1 + \frac{q}{4} + \sqrt{q}V_2} \pm \sqrt{V_1 + \frac{q}{4} - \sqrt{q}V_2} \right].$$

Complexification of Three Potential Model

In both cases

$$m = \frac{1}{2} \left[\sqrt{V_1 + \frac{q}{4} + \sqrt{q}V_2} \pm \sqrt{V_1 + \frac{q}{4} - \sqrt{q}V_2} \right], \quad (30)$$

where

$$|V_2| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \left(V_1 + \frac{q}{4} \right) \text{ and } \sqrt{V_1 + \frac{q}{4} + \sqrt{q}V_2} \pm \sqrt{V_1 + \frac{q}{4} - \sqrt{q}V_2} > 1$$

From (11) and (29) we have two energy levels

$$E_n = - \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt{V_1 + \frac{q}{4} + \sqrt{q}V_2} \pm \sqrt{V_1 + \frac{q}{4} - \sqrt{q}V_2} \right) - n - \frac{1}{2} \right]^2, \quad (31)$$

where

$$n < 0, 1, 2, \dots, \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt{V_1 + \frac{q}{4} + \sqrt{q}V_2} \pm \sqrt{V_1 + \frac{q}{4} - \sqrt{q}V_2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \right).$$

Let us take the potential parameter as $V_1 = (B^2 - A(A + \sqrt{q}))$, $V_2 = -(B/\sqrt{q})(2A + \sqrt{q})$, the potential (23) is invariant under the transformation $A + \sqrt{q}/2 \longleftrightarrow B$. Two values of m are $A/\sqrt{q} + 1/2$, and B/\sqrt{q} and the two energy levels are

$$E_n^{(\frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}(A + \frac{\sqrt{q}}{2}))} = - \left(\frac{A}{\sqrt{q}} - n \right)^2, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, n_{\max} < \frac{A}{\sqrt{q}} \quad (32)$$

$$E_n^{(\frac{B}{\sqrt{q}})} = - \left(\frac{B}{\sqrt{q}} - n - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, n_{\max} < \left(\frac{B}{\sqrt{q}} - \frac{1}{2} \right). \quad (33)$$

Now for the special choice $B = \sqrt{q}$, $A + \sqrt{q}/2 = -\lambda\sqrt{q}$, ($\lambda < 0$), the energies (32) obtained from the first $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ algebra become $E_n^{(-\lambda)} = -(\lambda + n + \frac{1}{2})^2$, while the second $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ algebra leads to a single energy level $E_0^{(1)} = -\frac{1}{4}$.

5 Complexification of Pöschl-Teller Potential

The generalized Pöschl-Teller potential is usually given in the form [13]

$$V(x) = V_1 \operatorname{cosech}_q^2(x - c - i\sigma) - V_2 \operatorname{cosech}_q(x - c - i\sigma) \operatorname{coth}_q(x - c - i\sigma), \quad V_1 > -\frac{q}{4}, \quad V_2 \neq 0. \quad (34)$$

The potential (34) is \mathcal{PT} -symmetric under $\mathcal{P} : x \rightarrow \log q - x + 2c$ $\mathcal{T} : i \rightarrow -i$. Comparing equation (34) with equation (16) we have

S. Meyur, S. Debnath

$$d_1^2 - d_2^2 - \left(\frac{1}{4} - m^2\right)q = -V_1, \quad (35)$$

$$d_1 d_2 = 0, \quad (36)$$

$$2md_1 = V_2, \quad (37)$$

$$md_2 = 0. \quad (38)$$

Using same technique in the previous section we have

$$m = \frac{1}{2} \left[\sqrt{V_1 + \frac{q}{4} + \sqrt{q}V_2} \pm \sqrt{V_1 + \frac{q}{4} - \sqrt{q}V_2} \right] \quad (39)$$

provided

$$|V_2| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \left(V_1 + \frac{q}{4} \right).$$

From (11) and (39) we have two energy levels

$$E_n = - \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt{V_1 + \frac{q}{4} + \sqrt{q}V_2} \pm \sqrt{V_1 + \frac{q}{4} - \sqrt{q}V_2} \right) - n - \frac{1}{2} \right]^2 \quad (40)$$

$$n < 0, 1, 2, \dots, \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt{V_1 + \frac{q}{4} + \sqrt{q}V_2} \pm \sqrt{V_1 + \frac{q}{4} - \sqrt{q}V_2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \right].$$

6 Complexification of Morse Potential

The generalized Morse potential is usually given by [14]

$$V(x) = V_1 e^{-2x} - V_2 e^{-x}, \quad V_1, V_2 \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (41)$$

The potential (41) is non- \mathcal{PT} -symmetric under $\mathcal{P} : x \rightarrow -x$ $\mathcal{T} : i \rightarrow -i$ but it is η -pseudo Hermitian[14]. Comparing equation (41) with equation (17) we have

$$(d_1 + id_2)^2 = V_1, \quad 2m(d_1 + id_2) = V_2, \quad c = \sigma = 0. \quad (42)$$

Solving the equations given in (42) we have $m = \frac{V_2}{2\sqrt{V_1}}$, known as real effective parameter [14]. The energy eigenvalues are

$$E_n = - \left(\frac{V_2}{2\sqrt{V_1}} - n - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2, \quad n < 0, 1, 2, \dots, \left(\frac{V_2}{2\sqrt{V_1}} - \frac{1}{2} \right), \quad (43)$$

which coincides with [14]. Now as $m > \frac{1}{2}$, we have $\frac{V_2}{2\sqrt{V_1}} - \frac{1}{2} > 0$ which confirms that (17) has only real eigenvalues corresponds to $n < 0, 1, 2, \dots, \left(\frac{V_2}{2\sqrt{V_1}} - \frac{1}{2} \right)$ and hence there is no symmetry breaking range.

7 Conclusion

In this paper, the bound state eigenvalues of Scarf-II, Pöschl-Teller and Morse potential have been solved by $sl(2, \mathbb{C})$ potential algebra. For the case of Scarf-II and Pöschl-Teller potential, we have shown that symmetry breaking occurs when $|V_2| > \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \left(V_1 + \frac{q}{4} \right)$. We have also shown that for the Morse potential there is no symmetry breaking range.

References

- [1] C.M. Bender, S. Boettcher, P.N. Meisinger (1999) *J. Math. Phys.* **40** 2201.
- [2] C.M. Bender, S. Boettcher (1998) *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80** 5243.
- [3] C.S. Jia, Y. Sun, L.Z. Yi, J.Y. Liu, L.T. Sun (2003) *Phys. Lett. A* **18** 1247.
- [4] C.S. Jia, Y. Sun, Y. Li, J.Y. Liu, L.T. Sun (2003) *Phys. Lett. A* **311** 115.
- [5] G.S. Japaridze (2002) *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **35** 1709.
- [6] F. Cannata, G. Junker, J. Trost (1998) *Phys. Lett. A* **246** 219.
- [7] G. Levai, F. Cannata, A. Ventura (2002) *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **35** 5041.
- [8] H. Egrifes, R. Sever (2005) *Phys. Lett. A* **344** 117.
- [9] A. Sinha, R. Roychoudhury (2001) *Phys. Lett. A* **301** 163.
- [10] A. Mostafazadeh (2002) *J. Math. Phys.* **43** 205.
- [11] B. Bagchi, C. Quesne (2002) *Phys. Lett. A* **300** 18.
- [12] B. Bagchi, C. Quesne (2000) *Phys. Lett. A* **273** 285.
- [13] C.S. Jia, S.C. Li, Y. Li, L.T. Sun (2002) *Phys. Lett. A* **300** 115.
- [14] Z. Ahmed (2001) *Phys. Lett. A* **290** 19.